

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

NORMA GONSALVES,  
Presiding Officer

FINANCE COMMITTEE

RICHARD NICOLELLO, Chairman

1550 Franklin Avenue  
Mineola, New York

Monday, July 1, 2013  
2:55 P.M.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICE  
(516) 747-7353

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

A P P E A R A N C E S :

RICHARD NICOLELLO ,  
Chairman

VINCENT MUSCARELLA ,  
Vice Chairman

ROSE MARIE WALKER

MICHAEL VENDITTO

DAVID DENENBERG ,  
Ranking

JUDI BOSWORTH

DELIA DeRIGGI-WHITTON

WILLIAM J. MULLER , III  
Clerk of the Legislature

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

LIST OF SPEAKERS

RICH MILLET, Nassau County  
 Department of Public Works.....16

DR. KENNETH SAUNDERS, acting president,  
 Nassau Community College.....29

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

INSERTS

Incorporated  
Public Works Minutes pertaining to  
Clerk Item 238-13.....6

Page 6, Line 14 through  
Page 10, Line 24

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Calling the  
3 Finance Committee to order. I would ask the  
4 clerk to call the roll, please.

5 Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton?

6 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:

7 Here.

8 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator  
9 Bosworth? She's here. Ranking Member  
10 Denenberg?

11 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Here.

12 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator  
13 Venditto?

14 LEGISLATOR VENDITTO: Here.

15 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator  
16 Walker?

17 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.

18 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Vice  
19 Chairman Muscarella?

20 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Here.

21 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: And I'm  
22 here. We have a quorum. Item 238 of 2013  
23 is a resolution to authorize the county  
24 executive to execute an intermunicipal  
25 agreement with the County of Westchester in

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 relation to trace evidence analysis.

3 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: So moved.

4 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.

5 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by  
6 Legislator Muscarella, seconded by  
7 Legislator Walker. This item went through  
8 the Public Safety Committee a moment ago and  
9 we will incorporate the minutes of that  
10 meeting into this meeting.

11 (Whereupon, the following are the  
12 minutes of the Public Safety Committee  
13 pertaining to Clerk Item 238-13.)

14 CHAIRMAN DUNNE: The first item  
15 on the calendar is 238-13, a resolution  
16 authorizing the county executive to execute  
17 an intermunicipal agreement with the county  
18 of Westchester in relation to the trace  
19 evidence analysis. Who do we have?

20 MR. MAY: We have Sergeant Greg  
21 Stephanoff.

22 LEGISLATOR BELESI: So moved.

23 LEGISLATOR FORD: Second.

24 CHAIRMAN DUNNE: Motion by  
25 Legislator Belesi, seconded by Legislator

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 Denise Ford. Who is here to speak on this?

3 MR. MAY: We have Sergeant Greg  
4 Stephanoff from the police department.

5 SERGEANT STEPHANOFF: Good  
6 afternoon, legislators. Sergeant Greg  
7 Stephanoff from the police department. This  
8 is an intergovernmental agreement between  
9 Westchester and Nassau County. It gives us  
10 the ability to analyze trace evidence using  
11 Westchester County's lab until we get up on  
12 our own with the ME's office.

13 CHAIRMAN DUNNE: Do we have a  
14 target date, a guesstimate?

15 SERGEANT STEPHANOFF: I couldn't  
16 give that you because I'm not involved with  
17 setting it up.

18 CHAIRMAN DUNNE: That's fair.  
19 Any other questions from any other  
20 legislators? Legislator Denenberg.

21 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So, I  
22 mean, the bottom line is this intermunicipal  
23 agreement allows Westchester's lab to  
24 perform the services related to samples and  
25 evidence that needs to be tested?

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 SERGEANT STEPHANOFF: This is for  
3 trace evidence.

4 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: When  
5 Legislator Dunne asked you where we are with  
6 our own lab, you can't give us an  
7 approximate date?

8 SERGEANT STEPHANOFF: For this  
9 evidence, Westchester is unable to do it at  
10 this time. I'm sorry, the ME's office is  
11 unable to do it at this time, so that's why  
12 we still need Westchester.

13 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: When will  
14 the ME be able to do it, do you have a date?

15 SERGEANT STEPHANOFF: I'm not  
16 involved with setting up the lab with the  
17 ME's office, I don't know.

18 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Either the  
19 police department or the DA's office or both  
20 would have to deliver and pick up the  
21 evidence, correct, from Westchester?

22 SERGEANT STEPHANOFF: Yes.

23 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: How much  
24 overtime does that generate?

25 SERGEANT STEPHANOFF: Well, I



1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 think the proximity to Westchester being so  
3 close, that's why we're using them. We are  
4 able to drop it off in one day.

5 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: But it's  
6 still not Nassau County. We still have to  
7 go to Westchester. So my question was, how  
8 much overtime does that generate?

9 SERGEANT STEPHANOFF: I can find  
10 out. I think that's why we chose them.  
11 They are also the only accredited lab in the  
12 area that was willing to take on our work  
13 also.

14 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Well, I  
15 think that after this passes here, assuming  
16 it passes here, it will go to Full, so I  
17 would like to know how much overtime is  
18 being generated, and whether it's PD or DA's  
19 office.

20 SERGEANT STEPHANOFF: Sure. I  
21 don't know if I can't speak for the DA's  
22 office, but I can find out for the police  
23 department.

24 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: How much  
25 overtime is generated by bringing it to

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 Westchester instead of doing it at our own  
3 ME's office?

4 SERGEANT STEPHANOFF: Sure.

5 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: And I  
6 would like to know when the medical  
7 examiner's office is going to be able to do  
8 this trace evidence again. Can you get that  
9 answer for me?

10 SERGEANT STEPHANOFF: I will try  
11 to find out.

12 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Thank you.

13 CHAIRMAN DUNNE: Any other  
14 questions?

15 (No verbal response.)

16 There being none, is there any  
17 public comment?

18 (No verbal response.)

19 There being none, all those in  
20 favor indicate by saying aye.

21 (Aye.)

22 Any against?

23 (No verbal response.)

24 Moves on to Finance.

25 (Whereupon, the following is the

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 continuation of the minutes of the Finance  
3 Committee, 7-1-13.)

4 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any  
5 legislators have any questions on this item?

6 (No verbal response.)

7 Is there any public comment?

8 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator  
9 Denenberg.

10 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I just  
11 want to repeat on the record that I asked  
12 for a number in terms of the overtime to go  
13 up to Westchester and come back and a date  
14 as to when our medical examiner's lab would  
15 be operational again. So I would like that  
16 before Full.

17 MR. MAY: Absolutely, legislator.  
18 You asked that question during Public Safety  
19 and the results will be shared with the  
20 chairman of that committee and the chairman  
21 of this committee as well as yourself.

22 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Thank you,  
23 Mr. May. Any other questions?

24 (No verbal response.)

25 Is there any public comment?

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 (No verbal response.)

3 All those in favor signify by  
4 saying aye.

5 (Aye.)

6 Any opposed?

7 (No verbal response.)

8 Carries unanimously. Item 244 of  
9 2013 is a bond ordinance providing for a  
10 capital expenditure to finance the capital  
11 projects specified herein within the county  
12 of Nassau authorizing \$722,313, 665 of bonds  
13 of the County of Nassau to finance said  
14 expenditure.

15 LEGISLATOR VENDITTO: So moved.

16 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.

17 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by  
18 Legislator Venditto, seconded by Legislator  
19 Walker. Mr. May, do we have anyone here to  
20 speak on this?

21 MR. MAY: Yes. We have Mr. Rich  
22 Millet from DPW to answer any questions on  
23 this item.

24 MR. MILLET: Good afternoon.

25 Rich Millet, Public Works. This bond

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 ordinance includes not only the rebuilding  
3 of Bay Park but the continuation of capital  
4 projects throughout SSW at Cedar Creek and  
5 the storm water system as well as the pump  
6 stations.

7 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Some of this  
8 is related to Super Storm Sandy.

9 MR. MILLET: Yes. The line at  
10 the waste water storm restoration line of  
11 \$540 million.

12 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Just that,  
13 or is there more related to Super Storm  
14 Sandy?

15 MR. MILLET: And 3P-311 for \$53  
16 million, the pump station, that is also.

17 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: It says, it  
18 is anticipated that we will receive some  
19 portion of that.

20 MR. MILLET: It is anticipated  
21 that we will receive the 90 percent that has  
22 now been authorized.

23 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: And the  
24 other items are not Super Storm Sandy  
25 related?

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 MR. MILLET: They are traditional  
3 SSW work that needs to keep moving to keep  
4 the plants in the system in working order.

5 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: In terms of,  
6 I guess, hardening Bay Park, there's a  
7 couple of options that the administration is  
8 considering?

9 MR. MILLET: There are several  
10 options. We are actually speaking with the  
11 design consultant now in designing what pot  
12 of money we are going to ask, whether it's  
13 404 or 406 money, to do all the hazard  
14 mitigation and hardening.

15 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: My  
16 understanding is that there are sea walls  
17 being considered and/or a moat of some type?

18 MR. MILLET: Well, there's all  
19 sorts of ideas that have been put in. A sea  
20 wall with a breach door. There is a lot of  
21 options on the table. We will try to figure  
22 with FEMA which seems to be the most  
23 acceptable and does the most holistic good  
24 for the community.

25 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any other

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 questions by the legislators? Legislator  
3 Denenberg.

4 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So, right  
5 now, what kind of assurance or information  
6 could be provided to let us know that 90  
7 percent of this request is going to be  
8 reimbursed?

9 MR. MILLET: What kind of  
10 assurance -- we are entering everything into  
11 the PW working with the infrastructure team  
12 from FEMA, so I haven't had any push back  
13 yet from them at all. If anything, they are  
14 enjoined with us and working quite  
15 efficiently with us in a group.

16 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Well, how  
17 much of this request is for the outflow  
18 pipe?

19 MR. MILLET: None.

20 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So this  
21 entire request is all for work predominantly  
22 at Bay Park?

23 MR. MILLET: Well, the \$540  
24 million is for Bay Park. The \$53 million is  
25 for the pump stations that were damaged from

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 Sandy as well as some hazard mitigation.

3 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: And \$53  
4 million is at Cedar Creek?

5 MR. MILLET: That's 31 pump  
6 stations in all that were damaged by the  
7 storm. So it contains in those 31 stations  
8 plus other stations identified in the flood  
9 plan.

10 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: None of  
11 this request then is for Cedar Creek?

12 MR. MILLET: Yes. There are  
13 other parts in here for Cedar Creek. The  
14 wastewater improvement of \$25 million, the  
15 digesters have to do with Cedar Creek at \$12  
16 million. So a regular SSW business as usual  
17 is in here, it's not in the 540 or the \$53  
18 million.

19 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I mean,  
20 some of the projects in here like the  
21 digesters and even the separation tanks, the  
22 gravity belt thickeners are items or  
23 projects that were underway for the last few  
24 years at least.

25 MR. MILLET: Correct. But you



1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 still need to fund the remainder of the work  
3 that has to get done. There's going to be  
4 new bar screens done at Cedar Creek, new  
5 grip tanks in there, all of that programming  
6 is in that.

7 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: If gravity  
8 belt thickeners or digesters are projects  
9 that were already underway, we can get FEMA  
10 reimbursement even though those projects  
11 were prior to the storm?

12 MR. MILLET: If it was at Bay  
13 Park, we would have to prove to them that  
14 the damage, even though we haven't completed  
15 all the work, the damage to the material  
16 that was there at that point needs to be  
17 replaced, they will work that way. Even  
18 though the project wasn't completed, we  
19 wouldn't go for the whole project.

20 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So, in  
21 other words, they will know we were underway  
22 with the project and we'll have to show a  
23 newer project that we have to replace  
24 everything, so fund the whole thing?

25 MR. MILLET: Well, no. They

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 won't fund the whole thing. They will fund  
3 it from a certain point.

4 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: What about  
5 at Cedar Creek? We have some of those  
6 projects, separation tanks --

7 MR. MILLET: Correct. But that's  
8 regular SSW, has nothing to do with FEMA.

9 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So that  
10 part of this money is not going to be  
11 reimbursed?

12 MR. MILLET: No, I would assume  
13 it's not because it regular SSW capital  
14 project work.

15 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So of the  
16 amount here, how much do we expect to be  
17 subject to reimbursement and how much not?

18 MR. MILLET: \$593 million and a  
19 little bit.

20 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: \$593  
21 million and a little bit is what?

22 MR. MILLET: \$200,000 to be  
23 exact. \$593,200,000.

24 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Is?

25 MR. MILLET: That is 3P-311, the

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 \$53,200,000, and 35121, the \$540 million  
3 project, those we expect to get 90 percent  
4 back.

5 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So the  
6 total of \$593,200,000, we would expect to  
7 get 90 percent back?

8 MR. MILLET: Correct.

9 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: How much  
10 would we expect not to get 90 percent back  
11 of this?

12 MR. MILLET: It would be the  
13 remaining --

14 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Is it  
15 127?

16 MR. MILLET: 127 and a little  
17 bit.

18 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Okay. And  
19 that's the breakdown right now?

20 MR. MILLET: Yes. The chart in  
21 front of you.

22 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Hold on  
23 one second. Has the Sewer and Storm Water  
24 Authority been briefed on this expenditure  
25 in this plan?

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 MR. MILLET: I don't know if they  
3 have been. I'm not involved in the sewer  
4 and storm water authority at all. I'm sure  
5 that went through the county exec's office.

6 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: We're  
7 talking about an asset that's owned by the  
8 Sewer and Storm Water Authority. I would  
9 assume that that board needs to approve and  
10 be on board with this, right?

11 MR. MILLET: I would make that  
12 assumption as well.

13 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: How do I  
14 assure that they're briefed and that --

15 MR. MILLET: I will check on that  
16 and get back to you.

17 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: In terms  
18 of a breakdown of all of this, the only  
19 breakdown we have is in this backup?

20 MR. MILLET: In the chart, yes.  
21 In this packet, there is just a chart. If  
22 you would like a more detailed look at this,  
23 I'm sure that the engineers can pull  
24 together the construction plan.

25 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Yes, I

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 would like the construction plan and a  
3 breakdown by design by construction  
4 including bidding. I mean, a lot of this  
5 has to be rebid. So there should be a cost  
6 of design, construction and, within that  
7 construction management, path management.  
8 Can we get that breakdown before it gets to  
9 Full?

10 MR. MILLET: Sure. I will give  
11 it my best effort. I will let you know if I  
12 can't, but I'm pretty sure it's almost  
13 complete now.

14 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I think as  
15 it gets to Full, the full design  
16 construction bidding, critical path  
17 management, construction management.

18 MR. MILLET: No problem.

19 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Thank you.

20 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Mr. Millet,  
21 in terms of the \$593 million though, I'm  
22 assuming that this is not a surprise to FEMA  
23 that this is going to go forward and it's  
24 going to be submitted to them?

25 MR. MILLET: They were, actually,

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 our engineers and their engineers have been  
3 involved since day two formulating the  
4 numbers. So they're fully engaged with  
5 these numbers.

6 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Because all  
7 those guarantees is the 90 percent  
8 reimbursement ratio, right?

9 MR. MILLET: Yes, they are fully  
10 engaged with our engineers.

11 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Do we have  
12 any further questions? Legislator  
13 DeRiggi-Whitton.

14 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: How  
15 are you? I just have a couple of questions.  
16 So just following up on what  
17 Richard said. They are fully engaged and  
18 been working with you from day two, but do  
19 we have anything in writing at all from  
20 FEMA?

21 MR. MILLET: You will never get  
22 anything in writing from FEMA.

23 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So  
24 it's a verbal agreement?

25 MR. MILLET: It's part of the

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 Stafford Act.

3 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: That  
4 they're going to be reimbursing 90 percent  
5 of now the 500 and something, so that's  
6 another 200 million that is not going to be  
7 reimbursed, correct?

8 MR. MILLET: Well, the 90  
9 percent, and if you have to get the total  
10 and come to ten, if the state is gracious  
11 enough to kick in, which they have, it might  
12 reduce by five more. I don't think you can  
13 plan on that, it might reduce by ten like  
14 they did with Irene and it might be a zero  
15 to the county.

16 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:  
17 Let's start with, as far as when you  
18 mentioned before that these contracts are  
19 going to go out to bid, what kind of  
20 contracts are these that are involved?

21 MR. MILLET: You'll have a  
22 contract you passed previously authorized,  
23 selected from, to go to a 30 percent design.  
24 That 30 percent design will be sent out for  
25 other design firms to finish the design and

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 get the contract documents in order to be  
3 put out to bid. Then there will a  
4 construction bid.

5 At the same time there is a  
6 construction bid, there is a construction  
7 management bid that goes out. Some of those  
8 will be with constructability reviews and  
9 some will not if, depending on the size of  
10 them, we may in turn only do the  
11 constructability reviews.

12 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: And  
13 these are going out to bid because they're  
14 not considered --

15 MR. MILLET: Very big projects;  
16 \$25 million, \$40 million projects.

17 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:  
18 Would these fall under the emergency clause?

19 MR. MILLET: I wouldn't want to  
20 bid them that way because I think that  
21 you're causing yourself undue stress when it  
22 comes to your claim back. So I think the  
23 follow-up procedure in bidding is the most  
24 efficient and FEMA would be the most happy  
25 about it if we did it that way.



1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Do  
3 we have a choice not to put it out as --

4 MR. MILLET: As a choice you  
5 could always use the county executive's  
6 emergency powers to do it, but I think, like  
7 I said, it's not something you are going to  
8 run out and build a plant in 12 months.  
9 It's something that you have to schedule and  
10 build out in little systematic pieces  
11 because you can't just shut it off and build  
12 it, so you have to build it in a way that  
13 you still service half of the county, or 49  
14 percent of the county.

15 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So  
16 the emergency is still pending for Nassau  
17 County, correct?

18 MR. MILLET: I believe he has  
19 continued to extend the emergency.

20 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So  
21 it's up to his discretion as to what  
22 contracts are bid out for emergency, and --

23 MR. MILLET: Well, no, you are  
24 not going to bid anything out that's an  
25 emergency that's not an emergency. This

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 system, this work is not emergency work.  
3 The previous work, to get the homeowners,  
4 and the residents so there wasn't sewage in  
5 their streets and in their homes was  
6 emergency work.

7 I would not personally think that  
8 he would consider it to be, but I don't  
9 think it is either.

10 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So  
11 then all of the criteria for emergency  
12 really shouldn't be falling into that in  
13 this type of contract?

14 MR. MILLET: Unless something  
15 changes drastically or catastrophically at  
16 the plant, our plan is to follow the county  
17 procedure for bidding and awarding.

18 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Do  
19 you know if there is any capital remaining  
20 from the money allotted prior for the  
21 repairs for the sewage treatment plant?

22 MR. MILLET: Is there money?

23 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:  
24 That's remaining that we had kind of put  
25 aside to help with the sewage treatment

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 plants in the prior capital budgets.

3 MR. MILLET: Are you talking  
4 capital money or FEMA money?

5 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:  
6 Well, any money allotted. Before Sandy I  
7 know we had some money put aside to improve  
8 Bay Park.

9 MR. MILLET: That's in the  
10 regular capital plan. That's programmed  
11 inside your capital plan.

12 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Is  
13 that going towards this at all?

14 MR. MILLET: Well, no, this is  
15 additional to the programming that's there  
16 already.

17 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So  
18 everything that we budgeted for prior is not  
19 included at all with this repair?

20 MR. MILLET: The project codes  
21 are included but that money is not included  
22 here because, if it's been authorized and/or  
23 borrowed, it's not in this list.

24 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Is  
25 some of that work overlapping them?

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 MR. MILLET: Some of the money  
3 you are going to put in here will carry  
4 those programs till the end.

5 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So  
6 my question, in a basic way is, are we going  
7 to have some money freed up that we allowed  
8 for these repairs in the prior budgets?

9 MR. MILLET: No, no. The money  
10 in the prior budgets aren't included in this  
11 borrowing.

12 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:  
13 Because, from what I understand, some of the  
14 repairs, including, like, raising the pump  
15 and a few other things, we already borrowed  
16 that money. And I would assume that would  
17 be part of the improvements with these.

18 MR. MILLET: You are asking me  
19 kind of an abstract question about a pump.  
20 So I don't know what program piece you are  
21 speaking of.

22 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I  
23 know there was remaining money, from what I  
24 understand, from a prior capital budget that  
25 were allocated for improvements.

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 I'm just wondering if that's  
3 going to be credited, or if it's going to be  
4 applied to this, or --

5 MR. MILLET: No. That's all part  
6 of your regular capital program that you  
7 continue on with.

8 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I  
9 heard that it wasn't spent yet and work  
10 hadn't been done yet. I'm just wondering if  
11 we're paying for it again now, or --

12 MR. MILLET: No, you are not  
13 paying for it twice. It doesn't happen that  
14 way. You have PPU in one project. It's not  
15 like you can move that money around and do  
16 anything you want with it. It's specific to  
17 that piece. You have to do that work.

18 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Maybe I  
19 can just? What Legislator DeRiggi-Whitton I  
20 believe is reading, in past years there was  
21 up to as much as \$400 million authorized in  
22 unissued bonds for projects that included a  
23 project number for influent pumps at Bay  
24 Park, a project number for gravity belt  
25 thickeners project at both Bay Park and

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 Cedar Creek, a project for the digesters at  
3 both Cedar Creek and Bay Park.

4 So not all that money had been  
5 expended because those projects weren't  
6 completed. They were in different phases I  
7 think in all three of those phases they were  
8 in different phases of construction. They  
9 had been designed several years ago.

10 So what happens with that  
11 authorized but unissued bonding if these  
12 project numbers are new fully covered in  
13 this request?

14 MR. MILLET: They are not. We  
15 took the delta of those pieces and reduced  
16 it out of this borrowing so that we wouldn't  
17 be doubling up.

18 If there are X amount of dollars  
19 in influent pumping, which is a job ongoing,  
20 it wasn't included, the remainder of the job  
21 was not included in here even though we may  
22 claim part of it in FEMA, it's still not  
23 part of this borrowing.

24 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So the  
25 influent pumps, I believe it's off the top

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 of my head, about a \$12 million job of which  
3 we only spent about \$2 million. \$10 million  
4 was authorized but unissued, what happens  
5 then, because clearly pumps for Bay Park are  
6 part of this request?

7 MR. MILLET: We have actually  
8 gotten probably to the \$7 million mark on  
9 the influent pump job at Bay Park. So that  
10 money is continually going out as the work  
11 is done and completed and we pay the vendor.  
12 So that work is being done already without  
13 this. This does not have anything to do  
14 with that ongoing capital work.

15 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: But it's  
16 one of the project numbers.

17 MR. MILLET: But the project  
18 number catches many items within the plants.  
19 It's not just the influent pumping. It may  
20 be the final screens, may be final tanks  
21 that come out of that piece.

22 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So even  
23 though we're authorizing, as Legislator  
24 DeRiggi-Whitton says, \$590 million now, and,  
25 in some cases we are authorizing money for a

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 full project, we've already authorized the  
3 money for that --

4 MR. MILLET: No, no. You're  
5 trying to mix apples and oranges. You're  
6 not authorizing it for influent screen. You  
7 are authorizing it for inside 35118, which  
8 is a general capital thing that says waste  
9 water facility improvement. It doesn't mean  
10 it's an influent pump job. It can go to any  
11 job that's inside the wastewater facility  
12 that needs that improvement.

13 So even though influent screen is  
14 being paid out of that, it's not the  
15 influent screen job which, if you do stuff  
16 like that it's quite foolish to have it that  
17 tightly moved in case you ever have a  
18 problem, you cannot make an adjustment  
19 because you cannot pay for something that  
20 goes wrong with that PPU. It's illegal.

21 So when you have well structured  
22 facility improvement lines, you want to take  
23 advantage of them so that you can do the  
24 work that you need to do, but if you come  
25 upon something that is worse, or has worse



1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 issues with it, you can use the same  
3 facility's improvement line and get that  
4 work done.

5 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So maybe  
6 in that breakdown that I asked for --

7 MR. MILLET: That will help you,  
8 absolutely.

9 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: That might  
10 help us understand. But what happens,  
11 getting back to Delia DeRiggi-Whitton's  
12 question, what happens to unused --

13 MR. MILLET: It goes to defuse  
14 debt just like any other unused capital fund  
15 when the project is closed you use it for  
16 debt defusing unless there is another  
17 project that comes up and it's another  
18 general capital project which has the  
19 ability the service the --

20 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: But what  
21 if it's authorized but unissued money?

22 MR. MILLET: Authorized but  
23 unissued?

24 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: We don't  
25 need it at this point?

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 MR. MILLET: I think you would go  
3 through the exercise and you would do your  
4 defusing early if it was authorized and  
5 unissued.

6 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So  
7 just to recap what David just said. So  
8 we're talking about approximately \$400  
9 million that was still allocated in capital  
10 for sewer improvement that has not been  
11 issued, plus over \$500 million here as well.  
12 So we're talking about \$900 million right  
13 now?

14 MR. MILLET: Okay. Could be

15 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So  
16 \$900 million right now, if we go forward  
17 with this --

18 MR. MILLET: It could be.  
19 Depending on where the money falls and what  
20 projects you do, it could be 900. Remember  
21 that \$400 million authorization was never  
22 borrowed.

23 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I'm  
24 sorry. \$400 million, so then talking about  
25 \$900 million that we would pretty much

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 anticipate, in borrowing --

3 MR. MILLET: Just because it's  
4 authorized doesn't mean it ever was  
5 borrowed. If there was no bonding issued on  
6 it, it doesn't exist. It's just in a plan,  
7 like any other capital plan.

8 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: But  
9 it could be?

10 MR. MILLET: It could be  
11 borrowed.

12 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So  
13 we're having a \$900 million possible bonding  
14 situation.

15 MR. MILLET: Well, no, I don't  
16 know where you get the \$900 million from.  
17 722 million.

18 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Well,  
19 remember you just said before, if I heard  
20 you correctly, it's only about 500 of that  
21 is --

22 MR. MILLET: So you would choose  
23 not to fund any work at any place other than  
24 Bay Park?

25 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: No,

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 no, no. I'm just saying for instance, we  
3 have \$900 million.

4 MR. MILLET: I'm here for \$722  
5 million, that's what I'm here for.

6 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: But  
7 there's still the possibility of additional  
8 funds still being available, including the  
9 400?

10 MR. MILLET: And that would be  
11 something that you would certainly look at  
12 the budget department and have them give you  
13 a breakdown of what's available for  
14 defusance, and --

15 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: All  
16 right. My concern is it's a tremendous  
17 amount of money, and, like you said before,  
18 if it's not used for the sewer it could  
19 possibly be used for debt service payback,  
20 and that might free up other money.

21 MR. MILLET: If it was borrowed,  
22 it could be used for debt service inside the  
23 sewer fund.

24 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I  
25 think we are just putting a lot of money out

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 there. I'm trying to be as careful with it  
3 as possible.

4 MR. MILLET: I appreciate that.  
5 I see that. But I don't think you should  
6 mix whatever this \$400 million magical  
7 number is because it doesn't have anything  
8 to do with this item here. That's a budget  
9 item you have to look at.

10 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I'm  
11 going to tell you something personally,  
12 Rich. I haven't been happy with the answers  
13 I've been getting up here. It goes back to  
14 the beginning of Sandy cleanup as far as  
15 crews being --

16 MR. MILLET: I can only give you  
17 the answers I can give you. If you're  
18 unhappy with them --

19 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: -- as  
20 far as crews being fired and not being  
21 fired.

22 MR. MILLET: I'm actually not in  
23 charge of your happiness. I'm in charge of  
24 giving you information.

25 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: And

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 that's what would make we happy if I got an  
3 accurate answer. Honestly, right now --

4 MR. MILLET: Again, that is  
5 certainly your opinion, legislator.

6 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:  
7 Well, the bottom line is, I've asked you in  
8 the past about other situations and you've  
9 told me things --

10 MR. MILLET: And I gave you the  
11 answer as I knew it to be the way it is. If  
12 that's not good enough, I can't help you  
13 with that.

14 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: It's  
15 not a question of whether it's good enough,  
16 it's a question of whether or not it was  
17 accurate.

18 MR. MILLET: It was accurate to  
19 the moment I told you.

20 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:  
21 We're going to not agree with that, and  
22 neither is some of the newspapers, but I  
23 what I will like to say is that the  
24 contracts that you just talked about as far  
25 as emergencies and non-emergencies, are you

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 basically going to tell us that anything  
3 that goes out to bid is going to conform  
4 with prevailing wage and everything else?

5 MR. MILLET: At the plant, the  
6 only -- probably the only item not going to  
7 go out to bid the regular way are the power  
8 generation engines and, that is, can't wait  
9 on and run a bid and wait six months to get  
10 it back.

11 So the power generation is  
12 probably the only place that's going to be  
13 non-Sandy but also going to be not-bid-out  
14 in the traditional fashion.

15 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: You  
16 know, again, because it all involves Sandy,  
17 I requested to see what has been reimbursed  
18 so far. I'm hoping that you're right with  
19 the 90 percent. We haven't gotten there,  
20 close to it yet.

21 I mean, I looked at a number of  
22 the documents that were provided. I had  
23 Roseanne here last time tell us it was  
24 probably around 30 percent so far. We are  
25 hoping to get closer to 90 percent but,

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 right now, if you're talking about going  
3 into another contract that we are going to  
4 be expecting to be reimbursed by FEMA, we  
5 really have to make sure that we know what  
6 we are doing.

7 We've already been shown that  
8 FEMA trusts us to the point where we are  
9 going to be paying for what is appropriate.  
10 Even the fact that we are not 100 percent  
11 sure if we're going to be reimbursed for all  
12 the work we did in other situations, I would  
13 like to know if going forward we really have  
14 to keep a closer eye on this. This is a lot  
15 of money. And, hopefully, I'm sorry if you  
16 feel we are giving you a hard time, but --

17 MR. MILLET: I don't think anyone  
18 is giving me a hard time.

19 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: But  
20 what I want you to do, is I want to know for  
21 sure going forward that we are going to be  
22 in compliance because I don't have any  
23 feeling, I've been concerned about it from  
24 day one with all these contracts. And your  
25 word and you're speaking with them and all



1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 that is I'm sure comforting to you, but, for  
3 us, on the Finance Committee, it's something  
4 that, with this much money of the taxpayer's  
5 money involved, I think we need our own  
6 level of comfort.

7 The other question I have, for  
8 2014, do you any idea approximately how much  
9 work would be accomplished in the upcoming  
10 year as far as to break it down by money  
11 possibly, like, do you think a third of  
12 this?

13 MR. MILLET: When I produce these  
14 schedules, you will see all the contract  
15 schedules, when they're due to be put out,  
16 the cost and when the construction will  
17 start.

18 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Do  
19 you, like, for instance, do we normally bond  
20 for more than one year ahead of time?

21 MR. MILLET: You need to bond for  
22 big jobs and jobs like this in a different  
23 fashion than you would bond for your regular  
24 every-day operation. You need a bond  
25 ordinance for the whole thing or the

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 comptroller and NIFA, they don't like to  
3 approve stuff if you just go for a little  
4 bit of it, they won't approve the contract  
5 as we witnessed in the aquatic center. They  
6 wanted us to have the entire \$28 million.  
7 We didn't have it, therefore, they didn't  
8 approve the contract.

9 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:  
10 Could you give us like a guesstimate, like,  
11 do you think it's going to be one to two  
12 years, or three to five years, or --

13 MR. MILLET: I would say the full  
14 construction, if you are talking about Bay  
15 Park, is probably a three to five year  
16 period with the bulk of the construction  
17 starting within the first 18 months.

18 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: And  
19 just to repeat again, this does not include  
20 the pipe?

21 MR. MILLET: Does not include the  
22 outfall.

23 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: I  
24 thought that it did. So why does it not?

25 MR. MILLET: The outfall doesn't

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 fall under FEMA reimbursement. We're going  
3 to apply in CDBG for the outfall.

4 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: How  
5 much would that normally cost about?

6 MR. MILLET: \$640 million.

7 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So  
8 we are talking about another 640 on top of  
9 it --

10 MR. MILLET: That's if you do an  
11 outfall. If you don't do the outfall, you  
12 don't have to ask for CDBG money.

13 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: So  
14 now we're talking about \$1.5 billion about.

15 MR. MILLET: No. I'm talking  
16 about \$722 million.

17 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: But  
18 if we do want to do the out pipe, which I  
19 thought was actually part of this --

20 MR. MILLET: No.

21 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: No?  
22 Did that change? I remember hearing that at  
23 some point that that pipe was included in  
24 this.

25 MR. MILLET: No.

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Actually,  
3 just to chime in, I'm sorry, there was --

4 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator  
5 Denenberg.

6 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Thank you,  
7 I'm sorry. At one point maybe we heard 1.2,  
8 the \$700 million and then \$500 million for  
9 the outflow that the county executive and I  
10 was there when he talked about it, talked  
11 about the 500 being reimbursed by the  
12 federal government, he didn't CDBG.

13 MR. MILLET: That's the only  
14 place you can get it is that.

15 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Community  
16 Development Block Grant, I would have to  
17 look at it, but I don't ever recall  
18 Community Development Block Grant money  
19 being available for an outflow pipe.

20 MR. MILLET: They have an  
21 infrastructure fund for this storm,  
22 particularly for this storm. That's what we  
23 are looking to target. As well as New York  
24 State infrastructure fund which as \$800  
25 million in it, and the EFC has zero percent

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 loan grants out for infrastructure.

3 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: It just  
4 begs the issue of why I would like to see  
5 the breakdown.

6 MR. MILLET: Absolutely.

7 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So we know  
8 where we envision 722 million going to. But  
9 this is the first time, at least speaking  
10 for my side of the aisle, that we heard that  
11 the \$500 million which is now \$600 million,  
12 we believe that the outflow pipe by the time  
13 we do it, it will be a lot more expensive  
14 than we ever thought. I have no doubt.

15 But the Community Development  
16 Block Grant, and I guess I'm thinking prior  
17 to the storm always seemed like it was for  
18 community development.

19 MR. MILLET: They created an  
20 infrastructure fund in there now.

21 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: When did  
22 that happen?

23 MR. MILLET: They did it when the  
24 governor declared his CDBG program, they  
25 separated money out for it from the federal

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 government.

3 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: CDBG is  
4 usually federal.

5 MR. MILLET: I understand. It  
6 goes through the state. The state is the  
7 recipient.

8 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Okay.  
9 We'll see. Thank you.

10 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Mr. Millet,  
11 questions have been raised about the overall  
12 total, in particular the \$722 million that  
13 is before us today.

14 But, less than a year ago, you  
15 had people who had sewage, raw sewage  
16 backing up into their streets and into their  
17 houses, right?

18 MR. MILLET: Absolutely.

19 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: A nightmare  
20 scenario?

21 MR. MILLET: Terrible.

22 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: And you had  
23 the rest of us, hundreds of thousands of  
24 people living in the catchment area for Bay  
25 Park who were faced with the prospect of

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 perhaps not being able to flush their  
3 toilets?

4 MR. MILLET: Absolutely.

5 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: And dealing  
6 with the rest of the effects of Hurricane  
7 Sandy with the outages of power, with the  
8 lack of gasoline.

9 So we are talking about a lot of  
10 money but we're talking about a fundamental  
11 service that, unless we do what we have to  
12 do, it could be in jeopardy of future storms  
13 and you could have something of a  
14 catastrophic nature, right?

15 MR. MILLET: Absolutely. This  
16 plant was built when they thought the 100  
17 year storm was of a certain level. When I  
18 got to the county three years ago, we have  
19 had 300 year storms. So it's no longer the  
20 absent moment. It's the norm now.

21 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: And the  
22 people that are looking at this, and  
23 determining what is absolutely necessary,  
24 are the county and its consultants?

25 MR. MILLET: Yes.

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: And also are  
3 there federal and state officials involved?

4 MR. MILLET: We have our federal  
5 partners at the table, our infrastructure  
6 team from FEMA and from SEMA with us all the  
7 time.

8 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: And anyone  
9 who has known anything about any storms --

10 MR. MILLET: Pretty smart guys.

11 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: But if you  
12 just follow the news, any storms of a major  
13 nature entail billions of dollars of damages  
14 and billions of dollars to repair.

15 MR. MILLET: Absolutely.

16 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: But just  
17 going back to my first point. It is a lot  
18 of money and obviously we will look at the  
19 breakdown for our own benefit, but let's  
20 understand that what we're dealing with here  
21 is basic fundamental things that uphold our  
22 society.

23 MR. MILLET: It's a necessity for  
24 the constituents. We can't have another  
25 episode where the constituents are in danger



1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 with a health and safety item.

3 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: You can't  
4 have our sewage system fail.

5 MR. MILLET: No.

6 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any other  
7 questions? Legislator Bosworth.

8 LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: So this is  
9 really a different question. What happened  
10 was catastrophic and everybody who had to  
11 live through that will never forget what  
12 they lived through. I know these are plans  
13 for what will be done in the years as we go  
14 ahead.

15 So my concern right now is, how  
16 are we right now? I'm looking at this rain  
17 and our 100 year storms seem to be happening  
18 every year or every other year.

19 So, can you tell us a little bit  
20 about the integrity of the infrastructure  
21 right now? I mean, are we able to withstand  
22 another big storm as we are planning on  
23 these?

24 MR. MILLET: I would have to tell  
25 you that -- I think I would be foolish to

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 tell you that there was another Sandy that  
3 you wouldn't have tremendous damage done.  
4 We think right now we are in a position  
5 where we've made some adjustments within the  
6 plant that we don't think that we would have  
7 as bad an issue, but, obviously, if you get  
8 a storm like that again, there's nothing you  
9 can do when 13 feet of water comes flying  
10 over the side of a building.

11 There will be, upon execution of  
12 this plan, in totality we will -- I will  
13 have a better feel for the safety of that  
14 plant once our plan is fully executed.

15 LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Thank you.

16 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Any public  
17 comment?

18 (No verbal response.)

19 Thank you, Mr. Millet.

20 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: Can  
21 I just ask one?

22 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Legislator  
23 DeRiggi-Whitton.

24 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: When  
25 you do the breakdown, I would really like to

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 see just how -- where the funding is coming  
3 for each part.

4 For instance, up until just a few  
5 minutes ago, I really thought the 722  
6 included the pipe. So I would really like  
7 that prior to the next meeting.

8 MR. MILLET: You will get the  
9 plan that we have submitted to FEMA, we'll  
10 give you the breakdown plan exactly as give  
11 it to them.

12 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON: And,  
13 listen, I wish that the work had been done  
14 with the \$400 million prior to the storm  
15 possibly we could have helped some of the  
16 situations that happened but, that being  
17 said, no one here wants to see anything but  
18 the best services given to our constituents.

19 However, it's clear that people  
20 still care about what happens with their  
21 money as Rich Nicoletto just said and that's  
22 our responsibility. And I just think that  
23 there's so much money involved here and I  
24 want to make sure that we're being as  
25 careful as we can be.

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 Again, I'm going to be the  
3 happiest person up here if we get 90 percent  
4 of everything back from everybody. But  
5 maybe I'm not as trusting right now, but I  
6 don't see it yet.

7 MR. MILLET: It's called a  
8 congressional appropriation. It's all about  
9 congress and the senate.

10 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:  
11 Possibly but it's also about us being as  
12 careful as we can when we enter into these  
13 agreements and not just going on a verbal  
14 okay when you are talking about, you know,  
15 \$1.5 million, right now which we're almost  
16 up to.

17 MR. MILLET: And we are.

18 LEGISLATOR DERIGGI-WHITTON:  
19 Thank you.

20 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Is there any  
21 public discussion?

22 (No verbal response.)

23 All those in favor of Item 244 of  
24 2013 signify by saying aye.

25 (Aye.)

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 Those opposed?

3 (No verbal response.)

4 The item carries unanimously.

5 Items 248, 249, 250 and 251 of  
6 2013 are resolutions authorizing the county  
7 executive to execute grant agreements  
8 between the County of Nassau acting on  
9 behalf of County Department of Parks,  
10 Recreations and Museums and Artists in  
11 Partnership, Inc.; Long Island TV Film/TV  
12 Foundation; Great Neck Arts Center; Cornell  
13 University Cooperative Extension Nassau  
14 County.

15 LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.

16 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.

17 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by  
18 Legislator Walker, seconded by Legislator  
19 Muscarella. Any questions from the  
20 legislators?

21 (No verbal response.)

22 Is there any public comment?

23 (No verbal response.)

24 Hearing none, all those in favor  
25 signify by saying aye.

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 (Aye.)

3 Any opposed?

4 (No verbal response.)

5 Carries unanimously. I'm going  
6 to call the next block of items, 252, 253,  
7 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, they are  
8 resolutions to authorize the transfer of  
9 appropriations heretofore made within the  
10 budget for the year 2013 and ordinances  
11 supplemental to the annual appropriation  
12 ordinance in connection with the Department  
13 of Public Works.

14 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: So moved.

15 LEGISLATOR VENDITTO: Second.

16 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by  
17 Legislator Muscarella, seconded by  
18 Legislator Venditto.

19 Do we have any questions from the  
20 legislators?

21 (No verbal response.)

22 Is there any public comment?

23 MR. MAY: Mr. Chairman, on Clerk  
24 Item 255-13, we noticed upon review that the  
25 resolution mixes where the -- the budget

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 codes are correct but what the budget codes  
3 describe in the resolution are incorrect, so  
4 that will be amended for the Full  
5 Legislature.

6 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Any public  
7 comment?

8 (No verbal response.)

9 All those in favor signify by  
10 saying aye.

11 (Aye.)

12 Those opposed?

13 (No verbal response.)

14 Those items carry unanimously.

15 Next is 268 of 2013 is a  
16 resolution authorizing the county executive  
17 to execute a grant agreement between the  
18 County of Nassau acting on behalf of the  
19 County Department of Parks, Recreations and  
20 Museums, and Island Trees Public Library.

21 I'm going to call 269 also which  
22 is a similar resolution involving the  
23 Central Park Historical Society.

24 LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.

25 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by  
3 Legislator Walker, seconded by Legislator  
4 Muscarella. Do we have any questions for  
5 these two items?

6 (No verbal response.)

7 Is there any public comment?

8 (No verbal response.)

9 All those in favor signify by  
10 saying aye.

11 (Aye.)

12 Those opposed?

13 (No verbal response.)

14 Those items carry unanimously.

15 Do I have a motion to suspend the rules?

16 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: So moved.

17 LEGISLATOR VENDITTO: Second.

18 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by  
19 Legislator Muscarella, seconded by  
20 Legislator Venditto.

21 Item 272 of 2013 is a resolution  
22 authorizing the county executive to execute  
23 a grant agreement between the county acting  
24 on behalf of the district attorney's office  
25 and the Family and Children's Association.



1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.

3 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.

4 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by

5 Legislator Walker, seconded by Legislator

6 Muscarella. We need to take a vote to

7 suspend the rules. All in favor of

8 suspending the rules signify by saying aye.

9 (Aye.)

10 Those opposed?

11 (No verbal response.)

12 The rules are suspended. Again,

13 motion on 272 of 2013.

14 LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.

15 LEGISLATOR VENDITTO: Second.

16 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by

17 Legislator Walker, seconded by Legislator

18 Venditto. Any questions with respect to

19 272?

20 (No verbal response.)

21 Is there any public comment?

22 (No verbal response.)

23 All those in favor signify by

24 saying aye.

25 (Aye.)

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 Those opposed?

3 (No verbal response.)

4 That item carries unanimously.

5 Item 281 of 2013, an ordinance to  
6 adopt the Nassau County budget for Nassau  
7 County Community College for the fiscal year  
8 commencing September 1, 2013 and ending  
9 August 31, 2014, and to appropriate revenues  
10 and the total amount of monies to be raised  
11 by taxation within the county for the  
12 purposes of Nassau Community College for  
13 such fiscal year.

14 LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.

15 LEGISLATOR VENDITTO: Second.

16 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by  
17 Legislator Walker, seconded by Legislator  
18 Venditto. Mr. May.

19 MR. MAY: We have Dr. Kenneth  
20 Saunders, the acting president of Nassau  
21 Community College to speak on this item.

22 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Thank you.  
23 We're probably -- we've agreed that we're  
24 going to have a full hearing on this in two  
25 weeks, but you're welcome to make whatever

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 comments you want. You can give us the  
3 brief version if you want.

4 DR. SAUNDERS: Well, if you  
5 agreed, I will just wait till the full  
6 session to provide additional comments.

7 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: And you've  
8 been sitting here all day.

9 DR. SAUNDERS: That's quite all  
10 right.

11 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Well, if you  
12 want to have something to say --

13 DR. SAUNDERS: I'm fine, but  
14 thank you very much. Do we have any  
15 questions?

16 (No verbal response.)

17 Is there any public comment?

18 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: For the  
19 minority, we would just, like you, agreeing  
20 to pass it through for a Full Legislative  
21 hearing on the 15th.

22 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: All those in  
23 favor signify by saying aye.

24 (Aye.)

25 Any opposed?

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13

2 (No verbal response.)

3 The item carries unanimously.

4 The last item is 282 of 2013, an  
5 ordinance providing for a capital  
6 expenditure to finance the payment of a  
7 certain judgement against the county of  
8 Nassau authorizing \$23,279,431.30 of bonds  
9 of Nassau County to finance said  
10 expenditures.

11 LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.

12 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.

13 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: Moved by  
14 Legislator Walker, seconded by Legislator  
15 Muscarella. Motion for executive session.

16 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: So moved.

17 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.

18 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: By  
19 Legislator Muscarella, seconded by  
20 Legislator Walker. All those in favor  
21 signify by saying aye.

22 (Aye.)

23 We're in executive session.

24 (Whereupon, the Finance Committee  
25 recessed into executive session at 3:37

1 Finance Committee/7-1-13  
2 p.m. and reconvened at 3:46 p.m.)

3 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: We're  
4 reconvened. I'll just call the item which  
5 is 282 of 2013. All those in favor signify  
6 by saying aye.

7 (Aye.)

8 Those opposed?

9 (No verbal response.)

10 The item carries unanimously.  
11 Motion to adjourn.

12 LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: So moved.

13 LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.

14 CHAIRMAN NICOLELLO: By  
15 Legislator Muscarella, seconded by  
16 Legislator Walker. All those in favor  
17 signify by saying aye.

18 (Aye.)

19 Committee is adjourned and Rules  
20 is next.

21 (Whereupon, the Finance Committee  
22 adjourned at 3:46 p.m.)

23

24

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, FRANK GRAY, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby stated:

THAT I attended at the time and place above mentioned and took stenographic record of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter;

THAT the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcript of the same and the whole thereof, according to the best of my ability and belief.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 16th day of July, 2013.

-----

FRANK GRAY